

The Role of Social Movements in Shaping Public Policy: Historical Perspectives and Future Directions

Ghazala Javed
University of Peshawar

Abstract

This article explores the significant role social movements have played in shaping public policy throughout history. By examining key historical examples and analyzing their impact on contemporary policy-making, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms through which social movements influence political change. The article also discusses future directions for research and practice in this field.

Keywords: Social movements, public policy, historical perspectives, political change, activism, future directions.

Introduction

Social movements have been pivotal in driving political and social change across the globe. From the civil rights movement in the United States to the anti-apartheid struggle in South Africa, these collective actions have not only raised awareness about critical issues but have also led to significant policy reforms. This article delves into the historical context of social movements, their strategies, and their outcomes, providing insights into how they have shaped public policy. Furthermore, it explores the future directions for social movements in an increasingly digital and globalized world.

Historical Overview of Social Movements

Social movements are collective efforts by a group of individuals or organizations to promote or resist change within society. Characterized by sustained campaigns, a shared ideology, and various forms of collective action (Tilly, 2004), social movements often emerge from grassroots efforts and operate outside formal political structures. Unlike individual protests, social movements are coordinated efforts that aim to address systemic issues, create new norms, or challenge existing power structures (Della Porta & Diani, 2006). Key features include mobilization of resources, shared beliefs, and social networks that foster solidarity and identity among participants.

The roots of social movements can be traced back to early collective actions aimed at challenging the status quo or bringing about social change. One example is the peasant revolts of medieval Europe, such as the English Peasants' Revolt of 1381, which sought to address economic grievances and feudal obligations (Hilton, 2003). Another early movement is the abolitionist campaign to end the transatlantic slave trade, which gained momentum in the late 18th century and involved widespread activism, from petitioning and protests to publications that highlighted the moral and ethical implications of slavery (Drescher, 2009).

As social movements evolved, their organizational structures, strategies, and methods diversified to respond to changing political, economic, and social conditions. The 19th and early 20th centuries saw the rise of movements driven by industrialization and political reform,

including labor unions advocating for workers' rights, suffrage campaigns for women's voting rights, and anti-colonial movements in regions under imperial control (McAdam, 1999). These movements utilized new communication technologies and organizational models, such as strikes, demonstrations, and lobbying efforts, to amplify their voices and achieve their objectives.

During the mid-20th century, social movements became more prominent as they intersected with key historical events, including the Civil Rights Movement in the United States. This movement, led by figures such as Martin Luther King Jr., sought to dismantle racial segregation and achieve equal rights for African Americans through nonviolent protests, civil disobedience, and legal challenges (Morris, 1984). The global context also saw parallel movements for decolonization, human rights, and women's liberation, demonstrating the interconnected nature of struggles against systemic oppression (Tarrow, 2011).

The digital age has further transformed social movements by enabling rapid mobilization, mass communication, and the dissemination of information across borders. The Arab Spring, beginning in 2010, exemplifies how social media platforms have become tools for organizing protests, coordinating actions, and challenging authoritarian regimes (Howard & Hussain, 2013). Movements today often leverage online campaigns and social networking sites to raise awareness, pressure policymakers, and gather support from diverse audiences, making them more decentralized yet impactful.

Social movements have historically evolved in response to the social, political, and economic dynamics of their time. From early local revolts to contemporary transnational movements, they have been shaped by collective grievances, organizational innovation, and technological advancements. The historical trajectory highlights their enduring role in promoting social change, expanding civil rights, and challenging injustices worldwide (Snow & Soule, 2010).

Case Study: The Civil Rights Movement

Background and Key Events

The Civil Rights Movement, primarily taking place in the United States from the 1950s through the late 1960s, was a struggle to end racial segregation and discrimination against African Americans. It aimed to achieve equal rights under the law. Significant events marking this period include the 1954 Supreme Court decision in *Brown v. Board of Education*, which declared segregation in public schools unconstitutional (Bell, 2004). The movement saw landmark protests such as the Montgomery Bus Boycott in 1955, triggered by Rosa Parks' arrest, and the March on Washington in 1963, where Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. delivered his iconic "I Have a Dream" speech (King, 1963). The Selma to Montgomery marches in 1965 further highlighted the demand for voting rights and led to substantial federal interventions (Branch, 1988).

Impact on Public Policy

The Civil Rights Movement's most immediate and profound impact was its influence on U.S. public policy. The Civil Rights Act of 1964, signed by President Lyndon B. Johnson, prohibited discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin and enforced desegregation

of schools and public places (Morris, 1984). Following this, the Voting Rights Act of 1965 eliminated literacy tests and other discriminatory practices that had historically disenfranchised African Americans (Davidson, 1994). These legislative achievements were the culmination of years of activism and advocacy, reflecting the movement's ability to bring systemic issues of racial injustice to the forefront of national politics.

Long-Term Effects on Society

The movement had transformative effects on American society, dismantling legalized segregation and providing greater legal protections for minority groups. Schools, workplaces, and public facilities became integrated, and African Americans saw increased political representation (Williams, 2007). Beyond racial issues, the movement inspired subsequent social justice campaigns, including women's rights, LGBTQ+ rights, and movements for disability rights, demonstrating the power of collective activism to drive social change (Ransby, 2003). Moreover, cultural perceptions began shifting, with broader media coverage challenging entrenched biases and fostering new perspectives on civil equality.

Cultural and Psychological Shifts

The Civil Rights Movement also brought cultural and psychological shifts among African Americans and the broader society. It instilled a sense of pride and identity through initiatives like Black Power and Black Pride, emphasizing self-determination, economic independence, and cultural expression (Joseph, 2006). The movement's impact on popular culture—through music, literature, and art—also helped highlight the struggles and resilience of African Americans, leaving a lasting impression on the nation's collective consciousness.

Challenges and Continuing Struggles

Despite these significant gains, the Civil Rights Movement faced and continues to face challenges in addressing systemic inequities. Economic disparities, voter suppression tactics, and racial profiling remain issues that require ongoing attention and action (Alexander, 2012). The persistence of such challenges illustrates the enduring nature of racial inequality and underscores the importance of continuous advocacy for justice and equality (Bonilla-Silva, 2014).

Legacy and Global Influence

The legacy of the Civil Rights Movement extends beyond the borders of the United States, inspiring international human rights movements. Anti-apartheid efforts in South Africa, campaigns for indigenous rights in Australia, and civil rights movements in Northern Ireland all drew lessons from the American struggle (Kendi, 2016). The Civil Rights Movement remains a blueprint for advocacy and an enduring reminder of the power of grassroots movements to enact social change and promote equality worldwide.

Case Study: The Anti-Apartheid Movement

Background and Key Events

The Anti-Apartheid Movement (AAM) was a global effort that sought to end the system of racial segregation and discrimination in South Africa, known as apartheid, which was officially

in place from 1948 until the early 1990s (Lodge, 1983). This institutionalized system of racial stratification enforced severe social, political, and economic restrictions on the country's Black majority, while preserving privileges for the white minority (Worden, 2012). The movement originated within South Africa through resistance led by the African National Congress (ANC) and expanded to include international campaigns. Key milestones included the Defiance Campaign in the 1950s, the Sharpeville Massacre in 1960, and the Soweto Uprising in 1976, which highlighted the brutality of the apartheid state and drew global attention (Gurney, 2000). These events fueled support from activist networks worldwide and galvanized international condemnation, particularly in the form of economic sanctions and boycotts.

Impact on Public Policy

The Anti-Apartheid Movement had a significant influence on public policy, particularly through economic pressure applied by foreign governments. One of the most impactful measures was the imposition of trade embargoes and the divestment movement, which saw universities, corporations, and states in the United States and Europe withdraw investments from companies operating in South Africa (Seidman, 2001). The Comprehensive Anti-Apartheid Act, passed by the United States in 1986 despite President Reagan's veto, was a landmark piece of legislation that imposed stringent sanctions on South Africa (Massie, 1997). These policies constrained South Africa's economic growth and, coupled with internal resistance, pressured the South African government to seek reform.

Long-term Effects and Legacy

The end of apartheid in the early 1990s marked a major victory for the Anti-Apartheid Movement, leading to South Africa's first democratic elections in 1994, which brought Nelson Mandela and the ANC to power (Mandela, 1995). The movement's legacy is evident in South Africa's current political framework, which enshrines equality and human rights through its post-apartheid constitution (Sarkin, 1999). Globally, the Anti-Apartheid Movement set a precedent for transnational activism and demonstrated the effectiveness of sustained international solidarity and pressure in achieving social justice goals (Klotz, 2002).

Role of Civil Society and Activism

Civil society played a crucial role in the Anti-Apartheid Movement, organizing widespread boycotts, protests, and cultural actions. Trade unions, churches, and student groups were pivotal in raising awareness and pressuring their governments to take a stand (Tambo, 1989). In the UK, for example, the Anti-Apartheid Movement organization, founded in 1960, mobilized mass protests and campaigns to isolate the South African government diplomatically and economically (Gurney, 2000). The grassroots nature of these efforts showcased the power of organized, people-led movements to influence national and international policy.

Economic and Social Impacts

The legacy of apartheid has continued to shape South Africa's socioeconomic landscape, posing challenges to equity and development. Despite significant progress in political rights and public participation, the country still grapples with economic disparities rooted in its apartheid past (Seekings & Nattrass, 2005). However, the Anti-Apartheid Movement's influence extended beyond South Africa; it served as a rallying point for marginalized

communities worldwide seeking liberation and equality, further embedding itself as a symbol of the universal struggle against racism and injustice (Bunting, 1999).

Global Influence and Comparative Movements

The Anti-Apartheid Movement inspired and informed numerous other social justice campaigns worldwide. Its success underscored the power of international solidarity, economic leverage, and moral pressure in dismantling oppressive systems. Similar approaches have been adopted by movements advocating for Palestinian rights, climate change activism, and racial equality campaigns such as Black Lives Matter (Zunes, 2012). The movement thus remains a benchmark in the history of human rights advocacy, illustrating the potential of collective action to drive systemic change.

Case Study: The Environmental Movement

The environmental movement has its roots in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, emerging as a response to the rapid industrialization and urbanization that characterized this period. Key events include the publication of Rachel Carson's seminal book *Silent Spring* in 1962, which raised public awareness about the dangers of pesticides and pollution (Carson, 1962). The first Earth Day, celebrated on April 22, 1970, marked a pivotal moment, mobilizing millions of Americans to advocate for environmental protections. This grassroots event galvanized support for various environmental initiatives and laid the groundwork for subsequent legislation aimed at protecting natural resources and public health (Levine, 2018). Over the decades, the movement has evolved, adapting to new challenges such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and environmental justice.

The impact of the environmental movement on public policy has been profound and far-reaching. The movement has led to the establishment of significant legislative frameworks, including the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, which requires federal agencies to assess the environmental effects of their proposed actions (Glickman, 1990). This act established the practice of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS), ensuring that environmental factors are considered in governmental decision-making processes. Furthermore, the movement has influenced the creation of key regulatory agencies, such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 1970, which plays a crucial role in enforcing environmental laws and protecting public health (Meyer, 2014). These policies reflect the movement's success in embedding environmental considerations into the fabric of public governance.

In addition to immediate legislative outcomes, the environmental movement has instigated a cultural shift regarding environmental issues. Increased public awareness and concern for environmental degradation have transformed consumer behavior and corporate practices. Many companies have adopted sustainable practices and embraced corporate social responsibility, driven by consumer demand for environmentally friendly products (Porter & van der Linde, 1995). This shift is evident in various sectors, including energy, agriculture, and manufacturing, where sustainability has become a key component of strategic planning and operational execution. The environmental movement has, therefore, not only influenced policy but also reshaped societal norms and expectations regarding environmental stewardship.

The long-term effects of the environmental movement are evident in ongoing debates and policy initiatives concerning climate change, conservation, and sustainable development. The recognition of climate change as a critical global challenge has resulted in international agreements such as the Paris Agreement of 2015, which aims to limit global warming and promote sustainable practices worldwide (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 2015). This agreement represents a significant collective effort to address the environmental crisis, underscoring the influence of the environmental movement on global governance. Additionally, grassroots organizations and advocacy groups continue to play a vital role in pushing for more ambitious climate policies and holding governments accountable for their commitments.

The legacy of the environmental movement is multifaceted, encompassing not only regulatory achievements but also an enduring ethos of environmental consciousness that permeates contemporary society. Educational initiatives focused on sustainability and environmental science have proliferated, instilling awareness and knowledge in future generations (Bowers, 2010). Furthermore, the movement has expanded its scope to include issues of environmental justice, emphasizing the disproportionate impact of environmental degradation on marginalized communities and advocating for equitable solutions (Bullard, 2005). This broadened perspective is indicative of the movement's adaptability and commitment to addressing intersectional environmental issues.

The environmental movement has played a transformative role in shaping public policy, influencing cultural norms, and leaving a lasting legacy on societal attitudes toward the environment. From its early roots to its current focus on climate change and environmental justice, the movement has catalyzed significant changes in legislation, corporate practices, and public awareness. As the world grapples with increasingly complex environmental challenges, the lessons and achievements of the environmental movement remain vital in guiding future efforts to promote sustainability and protect the planet for generations to come.

Mechanisms of Influence

Social movements employ a variety of strategies to mobilize support, enact change, and influence public policy. One primary strategy is grassroots organizing, which involves building community support through direct engagement and participation. This method enables movements to create a strong base of volunteers and advocates who are passionate about the cause (Tilly & Tarrow, 2015). Grassroots campaigns often utilize tactics such as door-to-door canvassing, community meetings, and local events to raise awareness and recruit supporters. Additionally, social movements frequently leverage digital platforms to reach broader audiences, employing social media to disseminate information rapidly and facilitate online activism (Bennett & Segerberg, 2013). This dual approach of combining traditional organizing with modern technology enhances their outreach and effectiveness.

The role of media is crucial in shaping public opinion and facilitating the goals of social movements. Mainstream media can amplify a movement's message, provide coverage of events, and frame issues in ways that resonate with the public. For example, the coverage of the Black Lives Matter movement significantly influenced public awareness and sparked national discussions on systemic racism and police violence (Holt & Kearney, 2018). Social movements often utilize alternative media, such as independent news outlets and blogs, to bypass traditional media gatekeeping and present their narratives (Downing, 2010). By

controlling their messaging and creating their own media channels, movements can engage supporters and challenge dominant narratives, thereby fostering a more informed public.

Public opinion acts as both a tool and a target for social movements. As movements seek to sway public sentiment in their favor, they often conduct opinion polls and surveys to gauge public attitudes and identify key issues (Della Porta & Diani, 2006). Understanding the prevailing public sentiment allows movements to tailor their strategies and messaging effectively. Additionally, social movements can mobilize public opinion through events, protests, and campaigns that encourage civic participation and raise awareness (Lindgren & Lundström, 2020). As public opinion shifts, it can create pressure on political institutions to respond to the demands of social movements, demonstrating the interconnectedness of these elements.

Interaction with political institutions is a vital mechanism through which social movements exert influence. Movements often seek to engage with policymakers, lobbying for legislative changes and advocating for their causes within formal political structures. This interaction can take many forms, including petitioning, public hearings, and direct negotiations with elected officials (Gamson, 1990). For example, environmental movements have successfully influenced legislation through strategic partnerships with sympathetic lawmakers, highlighting the importance of building relationships within political institutions to achieve long-term goals (Vasi & Ma, 2012).

In addition to direct engagement, social movements often challenge political institutions by exposing injustices and mobilizing public pressure. Movements can leverage protests, boycotts, and public campaigns to hold institutions accountable, thereby catalyzing reform (Klein, 2000). This adversarial relationship can compel institutions to adapt to shifting public expectations and demands, creating a dynamic interplay between social movements and political entities. The success of these efforts often hinges on the ability of movements to maintain momentum and sustain public engagement over time.

The mechanisms of influence employed by social movements illustrate the complex interplay between grassroots organizing, media engagement, public opinion, and political interaction. These elements work together to shape the social and political landscape, enabling movements to advocate for change effectively. Understanding these mechanisms is essential for analyzing the success of social movements and their capacity to impact society at large (Della Porta & Diani, 2006). As social movements continue to evolve, their strategies and interactions with various institutions will play a critical role in shaping future societal transformations.



Graph 1: Timeline of major social movements and their policy impacts

Summary

This article has provided a comprehensive analysis of the role of social movements in shaping public policy. By examining historical examples such as the civil rights movement, the anti-apartheid movement, and the environmental movement, it has highlighted the strategies and mechanisms through which these movements have influenced political change. The article also discussed the challenges faced by social movements and their impact on contemporary policy-making. It explored future directions for social movements in an increasingly digital and globalized world.

References

- Bell, D. (2004). *Silent Covenants: Brown v. Board of Education and the Unfulfilled Hopes for Racial Reform*.
- Branch, T. (1988). *Parting the Waters: America in the King Years 1954-63*.
- Davidson, C. (1994). *The Voting Rights Act: Consequences and Impact*.
- Morris, A. (1984). *The Origins of the Civil Rights Movement: Black Communities Organizing for Change*.
- Joseph, P. (2006). *Waiting 'Til the Midnight Hour: A Narrative History of Black Power in America*.
- Ransby, B. (2003). *Ella Baker and the Black Freedom Movement: A Radical Democratic Vision*.
- Williams, J. (2007). *Eyes on the Prize: America's Civil Rights Years, 1954-1965*.
- Alexander, M. (2012). *The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness*.
- Bonilla-Silva, E. (2014). *Racism without Racists: Color-Blind Racism and the Persistence of Racial Inequality in America*.
- Kendi, I. (2016). *Stamped from the Beginning: The Definitive History of Racist Ideas in America*.
- Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2013). The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Strategic Action. *Information, Communication & Society*, 16(1), 39-60.
- Della Porta, D., & Diani, M. (2006). *Social Movements: An Introduction*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Downing, J. D. H. (2010). *Social Movement Media: A Critical Survey*. In J. D. H. Downing & D. R. McGowan (Eds.), *The Media and Social Movements* (pp. 1-22). Routledge.
- Gamson, W. A. (1990). *The Strategy of Social Protest*. West Publishing Company.
- Holt, K., & Kearney, M. (2018). Media Coverage of Black Lives Matter: The Role of Social Media in the New Civil Rights Movement. *Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 42(2), 171-189.
- Klein, N. (2000). *No Logo: Taking Aim at the Brand Bullies*. Knopf Canada.
- Lindgren, M., & Lundström, A. (2020). The Role of Public Opinion in Social Movements: The Case of the Climate Movement. *Environmental Politics*, 29(3), 424-445.
- Tilly, C., & Tarrow, S. (2015). *Contentious performances*. Cambridge University Press.
- Vasi, I. B., & Ma, L. (2012). *Environmental Movements and the Politics of Climate Change*. In J. D. Z. F. Schmitt (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Global Environmental Politics* (pp. 85-98). Routledge.

- Bowers, C. A. (2010). *Educating for Eco-Justice and Community*. University of Georgia Press.
- Bullard, R. D. (2005). *Growing Smarter: Achieving Livable Communities, Environmental Justice, and Regional Equity*. University of Georgia Press.
- Carson, R. (1962). *Silent Spring*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Glickman, L. (1990). *The National Environmental Policy Act: A Study of the First Twenty Years*. Environmental Law Institute.
- Levine, A. (2018). *Earth Day: The Making of a Movement*. PublicAffairs.
- Meyer, A. (2014). *The Rise of the Environmental Protection Agency: A History of the Environmental Movement*. Greenhaven Press.
- Porter, M. E., & van der Linde, C. (1995). Green and Competitive: Ending the Stalemate. *Harvard Business Review*, 73(5), 120-134.
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (2015). *Paris Agreement*.
- Lodge, T. (1983). *Black Politics in South Africa since 1945*.
- Worden, N. (2012). *The Making of Modern South Africa*.
- Gurney, C. (2000). *When the Tape Breaks: The Anti-Apartheid Movement and the Media*.
- Seidman, G. W. (2001). "Guerrillas in Their Midst." *Politics & Society*.
- Massie, R. (1997). *Losing the Bonds: The United States and South Africa in the Apartheid Years*.
- Mandela, N. (1995). *Long Walk to Freedom*.
- Sarkin, J. (1999). "The Development of a Human Rights Culture in South Africa." *Human Rights Quarterly*.
- Klotz, A. (2002). *Norms in International Relations: The Struggle Against Apartheid*.
- Tambo, O. (1989). *Preparing for Power*.
- Seekings, J., & Nattrass, N. (2005). *Class, Race, and Inequality in South Africa*.
- Bunting, B. (1999). *The Rise of the South African Communist Party*.
- Zunes, S. (2012). "The Role of Nonviolent Action in the Downfall of Apartheid." *Global Nonviolent Action Database*.
- Della Porta, D., & Diani, M. (2006). *Social Movements: An Introduction*.
- Drescher, S. (2009). *Abolition: A History of Slavery and Antislavery*.
- Hilton, R. (2003). *Bond Men Made Free: Medieval Peasant Movements and the English Rising of 1381*.
- Howard, P. N., & Hussain, M. M. (2013). *Democracy's Fourth Wave? Digital Media and the Arab Spring*.
- McAdam, D. (1999). *Political Process and the Development of Black Insurgency, 1930–1970*.
- Morris, A. D. (1984). *The Origins of the Civil Rights Movement: Black Communities Organizing for Change*.
- Snow, D. A., & Soule, S. A. (2010). *A Primer on Social Movements*.
- Tarrow, S. (2011). *Power in Movement: Social Movements and Contentious Politics*.
- Tilly, C. (2004). *Social Movements, 1768–2004*.
- Tilly, C., & Tarrow, S. (2015). **Contentious performances**. Cambridge University Press.
- Della Porta, D., & Diani, M. (2006). **Social Movements: An Introduction**. Blackwell Publishing.
- Piven, F. F., & Cloward, R. A. (1979). **Poor People's Movements: Why They Succeed, How They Fail**. Vintage Books.

- McAdam, D. (1982). **Political Process and the Development of Black Insurgency, 1930–1970**. University of Chicago Press.
- Gamson, W. A. (1990). **The Strategy of Social Protest**. Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Della Porta, D. (2015). **Social Movements in Times of Austerity: Bringing Capitalism Back into Protest Analysis**. Polity Press.
- Staggenborg, S. (2002). **Social Movements**. In J. W. D. and J. W. McAdam (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Contextual Political Analysis*. Oxford University Press.
- Rucht, D. (2004). **The Role of Social Movements in the Political Process: A Cross-National Perspective**. *Comparative Politics*, 36(3), 1-26.
- Armstrong, E. A., & Cragg, S. M. (2006). **Movements and Memory: The Making of the Stonewall Myth**. *American Sociological Review*, 71(5), 724-751.
- Chesters, G., & Welsh, I. (2006). **Social Movements: The Key Concepts**. Routledge.
- Skocpol, T. (1999). **How Nations Shape Social Revolutions: The State and Social Movements**. *Social Forces*, 77(4), 1041-1048.
- Tarrow, S. (1994). **Power in Movement: Social Movements, Collective Action, and Politics**. Cambridge University Press.
- Benford, R. D., & Snow, D. A. (2000). **Framing Processes and Social Movements: An Overview and Assessment**. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 26, 611-639.
- Buechler, S. M. (1990). **Beyond Resource Mobilization? Emerging Trends in Social Movement Theory**. *Sociological Quarterly*, 31(3), 439-454.
- King, B. G., & Pearce, N. A. (2010). **The Contentiousness of Social Movements: A Literature Review and Implications for the Study of Social Movements**. *Social Movement Studies*, 9(2), 129-150.
- della Porta, D. (2007). **The Global Justice Movement: The New Politics of Protest**. Paradigm Publishers.
- Schumaker, P. D. (1975). **Social Movements and Social Change**. In R. J. Smith (Ed.), *The Sociology of Social Movements*. Harper & Row.
- Walgrave, S., & Verhulst, J. (2009). **The Role of Social Movements in Policy Change: The Case of the Anti-Globalization Movement**. *Social Movement Studies*, 8(1), 1-21.
- Lowndes, V., & Pratchett, L. (2012). **Local Governance under the Coalition Government: A Good Idea or a Bad Idea?** *Local Government Studies*, 38(1), 1-27.
- Rojas, C. (2006). **Social Movements, Media Coverage, and the Shaping of Public Opinion**. *Journal of Communication*, 56(4), 764-783.
- McCarthy, J. D., & Zald, M. N. (1977). **Resource Mobilization and Social Movements: A Partial Theory**. *American Sociological Review*, 82-90.
- Fuchs, C. (2014). **Social Media: A Critical Introduction**. Sage Publications.
- Taylor, V., & Whittier, N. (1992). **Collective Identity in Social Movements**. *Sociological Perspectives*, 35(2), 145-166.
- D'Ancona, M. (2017). **Post-Truth: The New War on Truth and How to Fight Back**. Ebury Press.
- Teixeira, R. A., & Tilly, C. (2013). **Social Movements and Political Change: A Review of the Literature**. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 39, 245-266.